

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/688,422	VOELKER, DEAN E.	
	Examiner David E. Bochna	Art Unit 3679	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>David E. Bochna</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>R. Goodloe</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>6 October 2005</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>A phone call was made to discuss changes in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Goodloe agreed to the proposed changes, and they were incorporated via an examiner's amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	